E L WRIGHT MIDDLE 2740 Alpine Rd. Columbia, South Carolina 29223 K-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 1,333 Students Dr. Michaele G. Lemrow PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Stephen W. Hefner, Ed.D. BOARD CHAIR William McCracken THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 23 12 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 19 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

803-736-8740

803-738-3236

803-469-8536

GOOD

ND

PERFURMANCE	TRENDS	TVFP 4- 9	FAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Mathematics

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

		Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned		76	247	93
Percent satisfied with learning envir	onment	98.7%	86.3%	85.9%
Percent satisfied with social and phy	sical environment	98.6%	86.7%	64.5%
Percent satisfied with home-school	relations	97.3%	92.5%	77.4%

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP	

		15, 0		/ ic		/ *	6	and
	/.	By of Testing	lested alogo	JOH Basic	Basic of	Proficient of	Advanced of Profi	dientand Advanced State
	noll	40, 0%	(65) \ (65)	NOW OF	Box of	Sko	box bion	PGA,0
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	`	0/0				0/0,	/ Sta
Alletudente	4.000	00.5	Ei	igiisii/Lai				
All students Gender	1,260	99.5	22.0	37.9	32.7	7.4	40.1	17.6
Male	637	99.5	27.4	44.1	23.4	5.1	28.5	17.6
Female	623	99.5	16.4	31.4	42.4	9.8	52.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	023	99.5	10.4	31.4	42.4	9.0	32.2	17.0
White	481	99.6	8.0	32.5	46.2	13.2	59.4	17.6
African-American	700	99.4	30.7	43.4	23.5	2.4	25.8	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	37	100.0	22.2	27.8	36.1	13.9	50.0	17.6
Hispanic	33	100.0	51.5	18.2	21.2	9.1	30.3	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	J	100.0	IN//A	14/74	11/7	IN/A	11/73	17.0
Not disabled	1,139	99.6	18.3	38.4	35.2	8.0	43.2	17.6
Disabled	1,133	98.3	62.2	31.6	6.1	N/A	6.1	17.6
Migrant Status	141	30.3	02.2	31.0	0.1	11/73	0.1	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	1,260	99.5	22.0	37.9	32.8	7.4	40.1	17.6
English Proficiency	1,200	00.0	22.0	01.0	02.0	7.1	40.1	17.0
Limited English proficient	17	100.0	88.2	11.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	1,243	99.5	20.6	38.4	33.4	7.5	40.9	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	1,210							
Subsidized meals	506	99.2	35.6	42.1	21.3	1.1	22.4	17.6
Full-pay meals	754	99.7	13.3	35.3	40.1	11.3	51.4	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	1,260	99.8	21.7	35.1	22.7	20.4	43.2	15.5
Gender								
Male	637	99.7	23.3	36.2	20.8	19.6	40.4	15.5
Female	623	99.8	20.0	34.0	24.7	21.3	46.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	481	100.0	7.8	25.3	29.7	37.2	66.9	15.5
African-American	700	99.6	32.2	42.8	18.0	7.0	25.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	37	100.0	2.8	33.3	30.6	33.3	63.9	15.5
Hispanic	33	100.0	36.4	33.3	9.1	21.2	30.3	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		00.0	40.7	05.0	04.0	04.0	40.0	45.5
Not disabled	1,139	99.9	18.7	35.2	24.3	21.9	46.2	15.5
Disabled	121	98.3	55.1	34.7	6.1	4.1	10.2	15.5
Migrant Status	NI/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0						
Non-migrant	1,260	99.8	21.6	35.2	22.8	20.4	43.2	15.5
English Proficiency	17	100.0	41.2	41.2	5.9	11.8	17.6	15.5
Limited English proficient	17	99.8	21.0	41.2 35.2	23.1	20.7	43.8	15.5
Non-limited English proficient Socio-Economic Status	1,243	99.6	21.0	35.2	23.1	20.7	43.0	15.5
Subsidized meals	506	99.8	38.2	39.6	15.6	6.7	22.2	15.5
	506	99.6	11.0	32.4	27.4	6.7 29.2	56.6	15.5
Full-pay meals	754	99.7	11.0	32.4	21.4	₁ 29.2	ס.טט	1 10.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

FAL	I PERFL					,		,	
		Enolit	(15t.ng	/,	How Basic		Proficient old	Advanced Advanced	/
		/11	ent lesti	Lested olo Be	CMBox	Basic ok	oroficie	dvanu sic	Ş
		Enfoli	Rent 18t sting	0/08	9/0	0/0	0/0	Advance of chic	8
		/ · •		English	n/Langua	ge Arts			_
	Grade 3	22	N/A	N/A	4.5	81.8	13.6	95.5	
	Grade 4	21	N/A	N/A	19.0	47.6	33.3	81.0	
2002	Grade 5	22	N/A	N/A	22.7	72.7	4.5	77.3	
20	Grade 6	371	N/A	16.1	32.7	34.6	16.6	51.3	
	Grade 7	376	N/A	17.9	43.3	32.4	6.4	38.8	
	Grade 8	348	N/A	24.2	36.6	28.3	10.9	39.2	
	Grade 3	20	100.0	N/A	35.0	50.0	15.0	65.0	
	Grade 4	22	100.0	N/A	9.1	81.8	9.1	90.9	
83	Grade 5	21	100.0	N/A	35.0	60.0	5.0	65.0	
2003	Grade 6	418	99.3	22.8	33.8	30.5	12.8	43.3	
	Grade 7	402	99.5	21.3	38.4	35.5	4.8	40.3	
	Grade 8	377	99.7	25.9	44.1	26.5	3.5	30.0	

				IVI	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	22	N/A	N/A	9.1	36.4	54.5	90.9
	Grade 4	21	N/A	N/A	14.3	61.9	23.8	85.7
2002	Grade 5	22	N/A	N/A	13.6	31.8	54.5	86.4
20	Grade 6	371	N/A	26.3	32.8	22.9	18.1	41.0
	Grade 7	376	N/A	25.9	32.9	18.4	22.8	41.2
•	Grade 8	348	N/A	27.7	38.9	16.2	17.1	33.3
	Grade 3	20	100.0	N/A	40.0	20.0	40.0	60.0
	Grade 4	22	100.0	N/A	4.5	54.5	40.9	95.5
2003	Grade 5	21	95.2	N/A	30.0	20.0	50.0	70.0
20	Grade 6	418	100.0	23.2	31.9	19.9	25.0	44.9
	Grade 7	402	100.0	19.9	31.3	26.5	22.3	48.8
	Grade 8	377	99.5	26.0	45.1	20.1	8.8	28.9

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School		Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 1,333)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	38.3%	Up from 30.2%	24.1%	14.4%
Retention rate	1.9%	Up from 1.2%	2.0%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.7%	Up from 96.4%	95.5%	95.2%
	20.8%	Down from 28.5%	20.7%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	8.2%	Down from 8.4%	12.2%	14.1%
	2.0%	Up from 1.5%	3.3%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.7%	Up from 0.4%	1.6%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 94)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Up from 48.3%	46.9%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	75.5%	Up from 68.5%	83.9%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	80.1%	Up from 77.9%	86.8%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.7%	Up from 93.7%	95.5%	95.0%
	\$39,746	Up 2.3%	\$40,736	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	7.8 days	Up from 6.9 days	10.3 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.5	Up from 5.5	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.8 to 1	Down from 21.1 to 1	22.2 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.4%	Up from 88.8%	89.8%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,668	Up 10.6%	\$5,476	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.1%	Up from 63.6%	63.3%	62.0%
	Excellent	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.8%	Up from 99.3%	95.7%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient San	ıple
--	------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Come "Wright" along with our students, parents, business partners, faculty, staff, administrators, and the Center For Knowledge, a K-5 elementary magnet school located on our campus to share a terrific school year! We continue to develop our curriculum and advance our level of achievement and cultivate strong business community relationships. Thanks to our parents, students, and faculty, "Wright" works. Some of our achievements are:

Designated Palmetto's Finest Middle School - #1 middle school in South Carolina Designated as a three-time Exemplary Writing School

Designated as a School of Excellence by the South Carolina Association of School Administrators

Designated as a Red Carpet School by the S.C. State Department of Education Designated as a Flagship School of Promise by the South Carolina Association of School Administrators

Designated as a Richland County School District Two Distinguished School Leadership Academy at Wright (LAW) and the Center For Knowledge (K-5) are district magnets featuring the Core Knowledge curriculum

Thirty-nine students named Junior Scholars

ALERT, AVID, PACE, Honors Language Arts/Reading, Pre-ROTC offered

All superior ratings earned by band, chorus, and orchestra at music festivals

Concentrating on student achievement, all students receive a double block of language arts/reading and math daily

299 students taking courses for high school credit

All students participate in the Reading Renaissance program

E. L. Wright is a forty year-old middle school that is proud of its history, for it has served the youth of its community well. Today, the school student body consists of 1195 middle school students in grades six, seven, and eight. We flourish in the richness of diversity! All students receive a tailored academic program of study and a positive learning environment designed to assist in the growth and development of the individual student. E. L. Wright Middle School, where all students are cherished and challenged, focuses on academic excellence for all.

Dr. Michaele G. Lemrow, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.